KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203) ak@kazlg.com Jason A. Ibey, Esq. (SBN: 284607) jason@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 [Other Attorneys On Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORDAN MARKS, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON
INDIVIDUALLY ÁND ON
BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Jordan Marks

Plaintiff,

V.

CRUNCH SAN DIEGO, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No: 14-cv-00348-BAS-BLM

PLAINTIFF JORDAN MARKS'
EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
SEAL DOCUMENTS FILED IN
CONNECTION WITH
OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
EXCLUDE OPINIONS AND
TESTIMONY OF JEFFREY
HANSEN

Date: October 27, 2014

Judge: Hon. Cynthia Bashant

PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO SEAL CASE NO.: 14-CV—00348-BAS-BLM

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Chamber Rule 5 and Civil Local Rule 79.2, Plaintiff Jordon Marks ("Plaintiff") hereby moves ex parte for an order permitting Plaintiff to file under seal a confidential Expert Report prepared by Jeffrey A. Hansen ("Mr. Hansen") in the matter of *Mashiri v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, and to file a redacted version of the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Hansen and the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in opposition to defendant Crunch San Diego, LLC's ("Defendants") motion to exclude expert opinion and testimony of Mr. Hansen. Defendant had indicated that it will oppose the request to file under seal the Expert Report in the *Mashiri* case.

BACKGROUND

As part of discovery, Plaintiff's technology expert, Mr. Hansen, was ordered by Judge Major to produce (pursuant to the protective order in this case, *see* Dkt. No. 21) certain expert reports and declarations to Defendant, including an Expert Report in the matter of *Mashiri v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 12-cv-02838 (S.D. Cal.). Dkt. No. 35. Mr. Hansen produced the Expert Report in the *Mashiri* case to Defendant in compliance with that order. *See* Declaration of Abbas Kazerounian ("Kazerounian Decl."), ¶ 6, filed concurrently. The Expert Report for the *Mashiri* case is also believed to be subject to protective order in that case (Dkt. No. 47 in that matter). *See* Exhibit 1 to Kazerounian Decl. (stipulated protective order in the *Mashiri* case).

On September 19, 2014, Defendant filed a motion to exclude the opinions and testimony of Jeffrey A. Hansen (Dkt. No. 37). In response to that motion, Plaintiff seeks to introduce a declaration from Mr. Hansen signed on October 9, 2014, which declaration contains, in minor part, confidential or proprietary information about automated dialers used by companies in others cases for which Mr. Hansen has served as a consultant or prepare an expert report or declaration. Plaintiff's brief in opposition to the motion to exclude Mr. Hansen's opinions and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

testimony refers to and even quotes portions of such highly confidential or proprietary information in the Expert Report for the *Mashiri* case and Mr. Hansen's declaration.

Through this application for a sealing order, Plaintiff seeks to have this highly confidential or proprietary information sealed and, in some instances merely redacted, from the public versions of documents filed in support of the Plaintiff's brief in opposition to Defendant's motion to exclude the opinions and testimony of Mr. Hansen.

ARGUMENT

While the public generally enjoys the right of access to court records, the public's right to access court records "is not absolute," and documents are properly filed under seal where disclosure would harm a party by forcing it to disclose trade secrets or other valuable confidential proprietary business information. See, e.g., Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); In re Elec. Arts, Inc., 298 Fed. App'x 568, 569-70 (9th Cir. 2008). Courts must ensure that their records are not used "as sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." Nixon, 435 U.S. at 598. See also Bauer Bros. LLC v. Nike, *Inc.*, No. 09-cv-500-WQH-BGS, 2012 WL1899838, at *3-4 (S.D. Cal. May 24, 2012) (granting motion to seal non-public financial data); Davis v. Soc. Serv. Coordinators, Inc., No. 1:10-cv-023 72-LJO-SKO, 2012 WL 1940677, at *3 (E.D. Cal. May 29, 2012) (noting that "[g]ood cause to seal is generally found where the disclosure of proprietary information could cause a party competitive injury").

When a party seeks to file documents under seal in connection with a dispositive motion, it must present a compelling reason to do so. Kamakana v. City & Cntv. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). However, when the motion is not dispositive, a party need only show good cause. *Id.* at 1180. Here, the motion at issue is Defendant's motion to exclude the opinions and testimony of Mr. Hansen, not the motion for summary judgment, which Plaintiff believes means

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that the motion is not dispositive (as the case could theoretically continue should Mr. Hansen's expert testimony be exclude). Therefore, the good cause standard should apply.

Plaintiff submits there is good cause to permit the filing of the Expert Report in the *Mashiri* case under seal, as it is covered by the protective order in this case (see Dkt. Nos. 21 and 35) and appears to be covered by the protective order in the Mashiri case as well. Plaintiff also believes there is good cause to file redacted versions of documents (rather than filing them entirely under seal) referring and even quoting highly confidential or proprietary business information in the Expert Report and the declaration of Mr. Hansen to be submitted in opposition to the motion to exclude testimony of Mr. Hansen.

Specifically, the Expert Report in *Mashiri*, at p. 5, ¶ 11, contains Mr. Hansen's expert opinions and testimony regarding the dialing equipment used by the defendant in that case, which confidential information is not public knowledge (and is subject to protective order). Also, the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in opposition to the motion to exclude Mr. Hansen's expert testimony quotes a portion of the Expert Report in Mashiri, and refers to confidential or proprietary information about the dialers in other cases as stated in Mr. Hansen's declaration at ¶ 14, line 10.

Therefore, Plaintiff submits there is good cause to file under seal the Expert Report in the *Mashiri* case, and to file redacted versions of the declaration of Mr. Hansen and the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in opposition to the motion to exclude Mr. Hansen's expert testimony. See Kazerounian Decl., ¶ 5.

24

25 ///

///

26 ///

27 ///

28

///